

Perfect Metal Phases of One-Dimensional and Anisotropic Higher-Dimensional Systems

Eugeniu Plamadeala,¹ Michael Mulligan,² and Chetan Nayak^{2,1}

¹*Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, USA*

²*Microsoft Research, Station Q, Elings Hall, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106-6105, USA*

We show that a 1D quantum wire with 23 channels of interacting fermions has a perfect metal phase in which all weak perturbations that could destabilize this phase are irrelevant. Consequently, weak disorder does not localize it, a weak periodic potential does not open a gap, and contact with a superconductor also fails to open a gap. Similar phases occur for $N \geq 24$ channels of fermions, except for $N = 25$, and for $8k$ channels of interacting bosons, with $k \geq 3$. Arrays of perfect metallic wires form higher-dimensional fermionic or bosonic perfect metals, albeit highly-anisotropic ones.

Introduction. Do stable zero-temperature metallic phases exist in one or two dimensions? A system of non-interacting fermions will always be localized at $T = 0$ in dimensions $D = 1, 2$ in the presence of generic types of impurities [20] [32]. Localization can be avoided if the fermions have sufficiently strong attractive interactions, but then they form a superconductor (SC) rather than a metal [2, 11]. A system of charged bosons is similarly known to have insulating and superconducting phases [10]. Although the critical point between insulating and superconducting phases is metallic in both cases, it is not known in either case whether a stable metallic phase exists. Such a metallic phase of fermions would necessarily be a non-Fermi liquid since a Fermi liquid becomes localized [4, 8] [33].

In addition, we consider a second, related question: if an infinite array of one-dimensional (1D) Luttinger liquids is coupled, is there a completely stable, albeit anisotropic, non-Fermi liquid phase? At the turn of the millennium, it was shown that inter-chain interactions could stabilize “sliding Luttinger liquid phases” against many types of interactions [9, 12, 13, 19, 25–27, 30]. On physical grounds, one could argue that any other perturbation would be negligibly small and, therefore, would not have any effect until extremely low temperatures were reached. But, as a matter of principle, it is not known whether “sliding Luttinger liquid phases” are actually stable against *all* perturbations that might cause them to become superconducting, insulating, or 2D Fermi liquids (in which case, they would become localized by disorder). Moreover, these constructions did not lead to completely stable 1D metallic systems with a finite number of Luttinger liquid channels. Therefore, as a question of principle, it is not known whether there is a completely stable zero-temperature 1D multi-channel Luttinger liquid phase or an anisotropic 2D phase of coupled Luttinger liquids.

In this paper, we answer both questions in the affirmative. We show that there are one-dimensional phases of interacting fermions and bosons that are stable against all weak perturbations. These phases do not depend upon a symmetry for their stability, unlike the edges of symmetry-protected topological phases [5, 6, 14, 29]. They are stable not only against all types of disorder, but also against coupling to an external 3D superconductor. Since long-ranged order is impossible in 1D [7, 17, 22], the absence of proximity-induced supercon-

ductivity is a reasonable definition of ‘non-superconducting’. Due to its extreme stability, we call such a phase a *perfect metal*. If we form an array of perfect metal wires, such an array is a highly-anisotropic 2D non-Fermi liquid metal or Bose metal [24].

These results are based on a relation that we demonstrate between special values of the interaction parameters of a 1D system with N channels of fermions (or bosons) and N -dimensional odd (or even) unimodular lattices. Vectors in such a lattice correspond to the different possible chiral excitations of the system, and the square of the length of a vector is twice the scaling dimension of the operator that creates the corresponding excitation. A non-chiral excitation is made of excitations of both chiralities; at special values of the interaction parameters, its scaling dimension is the sum of the scaling dimensions of the two chiral operators. Small changes in the interactions away from these special values mix the two chiralities, thereby causing small changes in the scaling dimensions. Systems that correspond to so-called non-root unimodular lattices with no short vectors are stable to all weak perturbations because all such interactions are irrelevant in the renormalization group sense. The lowest dimension in which such an odd lattice exists is $N = 23$ (the shorter Leech lattice); for even lattices it is $N = 24$ (the Leech lattice) [18].

Setup. The stable metallic phases that we describe in this paper are constructed from one-dimensional electronic systems in which the current-current and density-density interactions have been chosen in a particularly novel way. Such phases can be accessed by perturbing the conventional action describing N channels of free fermions in 1D:

$$S_0 = \int dt dx \left[\psi_{R,I}^\dagger i(\partial_t - v_I \partial_x) \psi_{R,I} + \psi_{L,I}^\dagger i(\partial_t + v_I \partial_x) \psi_{L,I} \right],$$

where the operator $\psi_{R,I}^\dagger$ ($\psi_{L,I}^\dagger$) creates a right-moving (left-moving) fermion excitation about the Fermi point $k_{F,I}$ ($-k_{F,I}$) in channel $I = 1, \dots, N$. The velocity of the I^{th} channel of fermions is v_I [34].

The leading quadratic perturbations couple $\Psi_{IJ}^{SC} = \psi_{R,I} \psi_{L,J}$ to an external 3D charge- $2e$ SC at wavevector $k_{F,I} - k_{F,J}$ or the charge-density-wave (CDW) order parameter $\rho_{IJ}^{2k_F} = \psi_{R,I}^\dagger \psi_{L,J}$ to a periodic electric potential at wavevector $k_{F,I} + k_{F,J}$. Both perturbations are relevant at the free fermion fixed point and generically lead to

a gapped ground state that explicitly breaks translation invariance and/or charge conservation.

The leading fermion-fermion interactions are density-density and current-current interactions, parametrized by the symmetric matrix U_{IJ} , with $I, J = 1, \dots, 2N$:

$$S_{\text{int}} = \int dt dx \left[U_{IJ} \psi_{R,I}^\dagger \psi_{R,I} \psi_{R,J}^\dagger \psi_{R,J} + U_{I+N,J+N} \psi_{L,I}^\dagger \psi_{L,I} \psi_{L,J}^\dagger \psi_{L,J} + 2U_{I,J+N} \psi_{R,I}^\dagger \psi_{R,I} \psi_{L,J}^\dagger \psi_{L,J} \right], \quad (1)$$

where we assume throughout that the interaction is short-ranged. These quartic interactions are marginal at tree level. If they are added to the free fermion action, the scaling dimensions of the quadratic SC and CDW perturbations, and also all higher-body fermion interaction terms will generally change. Generally, attractive density-density interactions drive SC perturbations more relevant, while repulsive interactions favor the CDW instability. Forward-scattering interactions that couple densities of the same chirality mix the collective modes and renormalize their velocities.

Luttinger Liquids and Non-Root Unimodular Lattices. To study the perfect metal, it is convenient to use the Luttinger liquid formalism, which enables us to treat Eqn. (1) non-perturbatively. Thus, we introduce a single, chiral boson ϕ_I (ϕ_{I+N}) for each chiral fermion $\psi_{R,I}$ ($\psi_{L,I}$). Our N -channel fermion system can be described by the following bosonic effective action:

$$S = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int dt dx \left[K_{IJ} \partial_t \phi_I \partial_x \phi_J - V_{IJ} \partial_x \phi_I \partial_x \phi_J \right]. \quad (2)$$

Here, $I = 1, \dots, N$ correspond to right-movers and $I = N+1, \dots, 2N$ correspond to left-movers. K_{IJ} is a $2N \times 2N$ symmetric matrix with integer entries. Density-density and current-current interactions are parameterized by the symmetric $2N \times 2N$ matrix $V_{IJ} = v_I \delta_{IJ} + U_{IJ}$ (with $v_I \equiv v_{I-N}$ for $I > N$). The Hamiltonian associated with this action is positive semi-definite if and only if V_{IJ} has non-negative eigenvalues. In addition, we must supplement the action with a periodicity condition $\phi_I \sim \phi_I + 2\pi m_I$, for $m_I \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The free fermion fixed point is described within this formalism by choosing $K = K_{\text{ferm}} = \mathbb{I}_N \oplus -\mathbb{I}_N$ and $V_{IJ} = v_I \delta_{IJ}$, where $\mathbb{I}_N$ is the $N \times N$ identity matrix. The operators $\psi_{I,R}^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi a}} e^{-i\phi_I} \eta_I$ and $\psi_{I,L}^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi a}} e^{i\phi_{I+N}} \eta_{I+N}$ create, respectively, right- and left-moving fermions in the I^{th} channel; a is a short-distance cutoff, and the Klein factor η_I satisfies $\eta_J \eta_K = -\eta_K \eta_J$ for $J \neq K$ in order to ensure anti-commutation relations between fermion operators in different channels. The density j_I^0 and current j_I^1 in the I^{th} channel are given by $j_I^\mu = \frac{1}{2\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \phi_I$ with $\epsilon^{01} = -\epsilon^{10} = 1$.

A system of hard-core bosons can be re-expressed in terms of fermions by a Jordan-Wigner transformation. It can then be bosonized as above, but with $K_{\text{boson}} = \sigma_x \oplus \sigma_x \oplus \dots \oplus \sigma_x$.

It is important to observe that there is still some redundancy in the expression for the Luttinger liquid action. The field

redefinition $\phi_I = W_{IJ} \tilde{\phi}_J$ preserves the periodicity conditions of the fields so long as $W \in GL(2N, \mathbb{Z})$ [35]. However, this redefinition transforms the action in Eqn. (2) into an action of the same form, but with $\tilde{K} = W^T K W$ and $\tilde{V} = W^T V W$.

This seemingly innocuous observation has a surprising consequence. Consider the operator $\cos(m_I \phi_I)$. It is a local operator that can be added to the Hamiltonian if $\frac{1}{2} m_I (K^{-1})_{IJ} m_J$ is an integer. It could, potentially, open a gap if its right and left scaling dimensions are equal, i.e., if $m_I (K^{-1})_{IJ} m_J = 0$. This operator is an irrelevant perturbation if its scaling dimension is greater than two, in which case, it will not open a gap in the infrared at weak coupling. The operator has scaling dimension $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{I=1}^{2N} (m_I)^2$ when $V = v_I \delta_{IJ}$. Suppose, instead, that $\tilde{K} = W^T K W$ and $\tilde{V} = W^T V W$ are block-diagonal:

$$\tilde{K} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{K}_R & 0 \\ 0 & -\tilde{K}_L \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{V} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{V}_R & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{V}_L \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

with positive-definite $\tilde{K}_{R,L}$ and $\tilde{V}_{R,L}$. Then, the field redefinition $\phi_I = W_{IJ} \tilde{\phi}_J$ allows us to compute the scaling dimension of $\cos(m_I \phi_I) = \cos(m_I W_{IJ} \tilde{\phi}_J)$: $(\Delta_m^R, \Delta_m^L) = (\frac{1}{2} \tilde{m}^R \tilde{K}_R^{-1} \tilde{m}^R, \frac{1}{2} \tilde{m}^L \tilde{K}_L^{-1} \tilde{m}^L)$, where $\tilde{m}_J = \tilde{m}_J^{R(L)} = m_I W_{IJ}$ for $J = 1, \dots, N$ ($J = N+1, \dots, 2N$). If the off-diagonal blocks in V are non-zero, then the total scaling dimension $\Delta_m^R + \Delta_m^L$ will generally change, but the spin $\Delta_m^R - \Delta_m^L$ will remain the same. (These manipulations are a particular manifestation of the observation that density-density and current-current interactions can modify the scaling dimensions of operators.)

To understand how a perfect metal phase could exist, in which all such operators are irrelevant, it is useful to express the above ideas more geometrically. As described in the Supplementary Online Material, we can associate the N -dimensional integral lattices $\tilde{\Gamma}_{R,L}$, with positive-definite inner products, to the matrices $\tilde{K}_{R,L}$. The K -matrices are the Gram matrices of the lattices and basis changes in the lattice transform the K -matrices according to $K \rightarrow \tilde{K} = W^T K W$. Since $1 = \det(K_{\text{ferm/boson}}) = \det(\tilde{K}) = \det(\tilde{K}_R) \det(\tilde{K}_L)$, we conclude that $|\det(\tilde{K}_{R,L})| = 1$ [36]. Therefore, $\tilde{\Gamma}_{R,L}$ are unimodular lattices. Consequently, the full matrix $\tilde{K} = \tilde{K}_R \oplus -\tilde{K}_L$ is associated with the unimodular lattice $\tilde{\Gamma}_R \oplus \tilde{\Gamma}_L$ of signature (N, N) [37]. An operator $\cos(\tilde{m}_J \tilde{\phi}_J)$ can be associated with a vector $(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_R, \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_L) \in \tilde{\Gamma}_R \oplus \tilde{\Gamma}_L$, where $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{R,L} = \tilde{m}_{I,R,L}^T \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{R,L}^I$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{R,L}^I$ are bases for $\tilde{\Gamma}_{R,L}$ satisfying $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{R,L}^I \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{R,L}^J = (\tilde{f}_{R,L}^I)_a (\tilde{f}_{R,L}^J)_a = (\tilde{K}_{R,L}^{-1})^{IJ}$ with $a = 1, \dots, N$. The scaling dimension of the operator is $(\Delta_m^R, \Delta_m^L) = (\frac{1}{2} |\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_R|^2, \frac{1}{2} |\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_L|^2)$ for block-diagonal $\tilde{V}$, as in Eqn. (3).

Therefore, if there are no $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{R,L} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_{R,L}$ such that $|\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_R|^2 = |\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_L|^2$ and $\frac{1}{2} |\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_R|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_L|^2 \leq 2$, or, simply, $|\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_R|^2 = |\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_L|^2 \leq 2$, then there are no relevant or marginal spin-0 perturbations of the Luttinger liquid action Eqn. (2) with the choice of couplings in Eqn. (3). If, moreover, there are no such $\mathbf{v}_{R,L}$, even if $|\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_R|^2 \neq |\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_L|^2$, then there are no marginal or relevant perturbations of any kind [38]. A lattice Γ is called a non-root lattice if all $\mathbf{v} \in \Gamma$ satisfy $|\mathbf{v}|^2 > 2$ (a vector with

$|\mathbf{v}|^2 = 2$ is called a root vector). Therefore, we have reduced the problem of finding a metallic state that is stable against all spin-0 perturbations to the problem of finding a non-root unimodular lattice $\tilde{\Gamma}_R$ whose Gram matrix $\tilde{K}_R$ is related to $K = K_{\text{ferm}}$ (for a system composed out of fermions) or $K = K_{\text{boson}}$ (for a system composed out of bosons) according to $\tilde{K}_R \oplus -\tilde{K}_L = W^T K W$ for some $W \in GL(2N, \mathbb{Z})$ and unimodular $\tilde{K}_L$. This also guarantees the irrelevance of almost all local chiral perturbations, with some exceptions that we discuss further below (even though such perturbations cannot open a gap).

At this point, we make use of two fortuitous mathematical facts. The first is that there is a unique signature (N, N) unimodular lattice of each parity, up to $SO(N, N)$ rotations acting on the basis vectors [39], where a lattice is said to have even parity if the norm-squared of all vectors is even and said to have odd parity otherwise [18]. Therefore, any difference between the Gram matrices of two such lattices can only be due to a difference in choice of basis. Consequently, all signature (N, N) unimodular K -matrices of the same parity are $GL(2N, \mathbb{Z})$ -equivalent [40]. In particular, there exists a $W \in GL(2N, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $W^T K_{\text{fermion/boson}} W = \tilde{K}_R \oplus -\tilde{K}_L$ for any positive-definite odd/even unimodular lattice $\tilde{\Gamma}_R \oplus \tilde{\Gamma}_L$ with Gram matrix $\tilde{K}_R \oplus -\tilde{K}_L$. The second fact is that there exist positive-definite unimodular lattices that contain no roots. In fact, for any integer n , there exists an N -dimensional positive-definite unimodular lattice whose shortest vector $|\mathbf{v}|^2 = n$ [23]. The minimal possible dimension N increases with n . For $n = 3$, the minimal $N = 23$ (the shorter Leech lattice), while for $n = 4$, the minimal $N = 24$ (the even Leech lattice). The Gram matrices K_{sL} and K_L of these two lattices are given in the Supplementary Material.

To summarize, there is a unique signature (N, N) unimodular lattice, up to $SO(N, N)$ transformations. All associated signature (N, N) unimodular K -matrices give the same operator spectrum of conformal spins since these are $SO(N, N)$ invariants. However, each unimodular K -matrix gives a different spectrum of scaling dimensions because these are not $SO(N, N)$ invariants. Non-root unimodular lattices are associated with theories with no relevant cosine operators.

Shorter Leech Liquid. We first consider the case in which $\tilde{K}_R = \tilde{K}_L = K_{sL}$, which we call the *symmetric shorter Leech liquid*. We will call block diagonal $\tilde{V}$, shown in Eqn. (3), the *decoupled surface*. On the decoupled surface, the minimum scaling dimension of an operator is $3/2$ if it is completely chiral and 3 if it is spin-0. Small changes in $\tilde{V}$ can only change these scaling dimensions slightly, so there is a finite region of parameter space in which all potential gap-generating perturbations are irrelevant. For block diagonal $\tilde{V}$, we can compute the scaling dimensions of various perturbations using the $GL(46, \mathbb{Z})$ transformation W_s , given explicitly in the Supplementary Online Material, that satisfies $W_s^T K_{\text{ferm}} W_s = K_{sL} \oplus -K_{sL}$. Note that there are many possible $GL(46, \mathbb{Z})$ transformations satisfying $W_s^T K_{\text{ferm}} W_s = K_{sL} \oplus -K_{sL}$ and, therefore, many different possible matrices V that lead to the same block diagonal $\tilde{V}$. The W_s that we

construct in the Supplementary Online Material is not symmetrical between right- and left-movers, which means that our choice of velocities and interactions is not parity-invariant. Although this facilitated our calculations, it is not essential for any of our conclusions.

Table I lists the scaling dimensions of the electron creation operators $\psi_{R/L, I}^\dagger$; inter-channel exchange operators $J_{R/L, I, J}^\perp = \psi_{R/L, I}^\dagger \psi_{R/L, J}$; SC and CDW order parameters $\rho_{IJ}^{2k_F}$ and Ψ_{IJ}^{SC} ; and quartic inter-channel interactions in the particle-hole channel, $\mathcal{O}_{IJ}^{\text{p-h}} \equiv \psi_{L, I}^\dagger \psi_{R, I} \psi_{R, J}^\dagger \psi_{L, J}$, and particle-particle channel, $\mathcal{O}_{IJ}^{\text{p-p}} \equiv \psi_{R, I} \psi_{L, I} \psi_{L, J}^\dagger \psi_{R, J}^\dagger$. We have indicated the channel indices at which the minimal scaling dimension is obtained for each operator. Note that the operator $\rho_{IJ}^{2k_F}$ scatters a left-moving fermion in channel J to a right-moving fermion in channel I . As noted in the Table 1 caption, the inter-channel $I = 2, J = 4$ CDW order parameter has lower scaling dimension than in any single other channel. We also see that the most relevant operator is the $2k_F$ charge-density-wave order parameter in channel 5. All of these operators have very high scaling dimensions. The most relevant operator with 4 fermion fields is $\psi_{R, 2} \psi_{L, 2}^\dagger \psi_{R, 4}^\dagger \psi_{L, 3}$, with scaling dimension 10. Note that operators of this form destabilize the sliding Luttinger liquid phase in large parts of the phase diagram [30].

The lowest dimension operators are very complicated combinations of the original electrons. From the θ -function for the shorter Leech lattice [18], we can see that there are 4600 fermionic dimension- $3/2$ operators of each chirality. One simple (in the tilded basis) dimension- $3/2$ chiral operator is $e^{i\phi_1}$, but this has a very complicated form in terms of fermion operators (given in the Supplementary Online Material) and has total electric charge -201 . There are $(4600)^2$ dimension-3 operators. A relatively simple dimension-3 operator (given in the Supplementary Online Material) is a combination of 10 fermion creation and 12 fermion annihilation operators.

There are also dimension- $(1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$ fields $\partial\tilde{\phi}_I$. These shift the Fermi momenta. By coupling such operators together, we can change the matrix $\tilde{V}_{IJ}$, which is a marginal deformation of the phase. If such a deformation moves the system off the decoupled surface, it will change the scaling dimensions of cosine operators, but will leave their conformal spins unchanged. On the decoupled surface, there are dimension- $(2, 0)$ and $(0, 2)$ chiral operators – in fact, 93150 of each [18]. An example is given in the Supplementary Online Material. They are strictly marginal, due to their chirality, and, so long as they are sufficiently small, they will not make any of the irrelevant operators relevant. Hence, they do not destabilize the shorter Leech liquid, but their coefficients can be non-zero and they can play a role in determining physical properties on the decoupled surface. Off the decoupled surface, such an operator will have scaling dimension $(2 + \alpha, \alpha)$ or $(\alpha, 2 + \alpha)$ and will, therefore, be irrelevant. These observations also apply to the other perfect metals described in this paper.

	$\Delta_{R,I}^\psi$	$\Delta_{L,I}^\psi$	$\Delta_{R,IJ}^{J_\perp}$	$\Delta_{L,IJ}^{J_\perp}$	$\Delta_{IJ}^{2k_F}$	Δ_{IJ}^{SC}	Δ_{IJ}^{p-h}	Δ_{IJ}^{p-p}
s	11/2	17/2	23	13	5	28	17	21
a	9/2	1/2	20	1	5	5	113	5

TABLE I: The scaling dimensions of various physical operators in the symmetric (s) and asymmetric (a) shorter Leech liquids. The scaling dimensions depend on the channel indices I, J . We have listed the minimal possible scaling dimensions, which are attained by $\psi_{R,4}, \psi_{L,3}; J_{R,2,4}^\perp, J_{L,3,4}^\perp; \rho_{5,5}^{2k_F}; \Psi_{2,5}^{SC}; \mathcal{O}_{2,5}^{p-h}; \mathcal{O}_{3,4}^{p-p}$ in the symmetric case and $\psi_{R,2}, \psi_{L,21}; J_{R,2,5}^\perp, J_{L,21,22}^\perp; \rho_{2,21}^{2k_F}; \Psi_{2,21}^{SC}; \mathcal{O}_{2,5}^{p-h}; \mathcal{O}_{2,5}^{p-p}$ in the asymmetric case. The right and left scaling dimensions are not equal even in the symmetric case, due to the asymmetry in the choice of interactions and velocities, which is not fundamental but was for calculational convenience.

Asymmetric Shorter Leech Liquid We now consider the case in which $\tilde{K}_R = K_{sL}$ but $\tilde{K}_L = \mathbb{I}_{23}$, which we call the *asymmetric shorter Leech liquid*. On the decoupled surface, the minimum scaling dimension of a right-moving chiral operator is $3/2$, but a left-moving chiral operator can have dimension- $1/2$. While the minimal dimension of a spin-0 operator is 3, as in the case of the symmetric shorter Leech liquid, there are strictly marginal operators of dimension- $(3/2, 1/2)$ on the decoupled surface, but they are irrelevant off the decoupled surface.

On the decoupled surface, we can compute the scaling dimensions of various perturbations using the $GL(46, \mathbb{Z})$ transformation W_a that satisfies $W_a^T K_{\text{ferm}} W_a = K_{sL} \oplus -\mathbb{I}_{23}$ and is given explicitly in the Supplementary Online Material. They are given in Table I. It is unclear whether the asymmetric shorter Leech liquid can be adiabatically connected to the symmetric one through a sequence of perfect metal Hamiltonians in which all potentially gap-generating perturbations are irrelevant.

Region of Stability of Perfect Metals As we tune the interactions away from the decoupled surface of any perfect metal phase associated with a non-root unimodular lattice, some of the irrelevant perturbations will decrease in scaling dimension and will, eventually, become relevant. The parameter space is too large for us to fully map out the region of stability of either the symmetric or asymmetric shorter Leech liquids. However, as a representative example, consider the one-parameter family of symmetric theories with $\tilde{V}(\lambda) = v M_s^T O_s^T(\lambda) O_s(\lambda) M_s$. Here, v is a velocity scale and the $SO(23, 23)$ rotation $O_s(\lambda)_{ab} = \exp(\lambda(\delta_{a1}\delta_{b24} + \delta_{a24}\delta_{b1}))$ where $a, b = 1, \dots, 46$. The matrix $(M_s)_I^a = (f_I^{(sL)})^a = K_{IJ}(f^{J,(sL)})^a$ is the matrix given in the Supplementary Online Materials such that $\tilde{V}(0) = M_s^T M_s$ is of the form given in Eqn. (3) with $\tilde{V}_R = \tilde{V}_L = K_{sL}$. The minimal scaling dimension of a spin-0 operator is $3e^{-2\lambda}$, which becomes relevant at $\lambda \approx 0.203$, where the largest change in an element of $\tilde{V}$ is $1.25v$.

Discussion. Thus far, we have focused on fermionic systems. However, the same basic strategy applies to bosonic ones as well. The bosonic system associated with the Leech

lattice, the lowest dimension non-root even unimodular lattice, is stable against all weak spin-0 perturbations, since their minimal scaling dimension is 4. We will call this phase the Leech liquid. If we consider systems with more channels, then even the minimal dimension chiral perturbations are irrelevant. In 48 dimensions, there are 4 lattices with minimal norm 6. Moreover, in the $n = 8k$ channel asymmetric fermionic case, it is possible for the right-moving sector to be associated with an even lattice so that all right-moving excitations are bosonic.

Perfect metals are described by conformal field theories (CFTs) with no primary operators of low scaling dimension. CFTs with a large gap in the spectrum of operator scaling dimensions must have large central charge, according to Hellsman's inequality $0 < \Delta_{\min} < (c + \bar{c})/12 + 3/2\pi$ [15]. This may explain why our phases have a large number of channels. According to the $\text{AdS}_3/\text{CFT}_2$ correspondence [21], such CFTs correspond to weakly-curved gravity duals without light Banados-Teitelboim-Zanelli black holes [1].

If we couple a Fermi liquid lead to a point in the middle of a symmetric shorter Leech wire then, on the decoupled surface, the tunneling conductance will be $G_{\text{tun}} \sim T^{10}$ due to the high scaling dimension of electron operators; in an asymmetric shorter Leech wire, it will be Ohmic, $G_{\text{tun}} \sim T^0$, as in a Fermi liquid, due to the left-moving sector. These exponents vary continuously as we move away from the decoupled surface. Other properties are proportional to high powers of T due to the high scaling dimensions of the operators in Table I.

An array of 1D symmetric shorter Leech or Leech liquids forms an anisotropic 2D perfect metal. Since the minimal scaling dimension of any quasiparticle creation operator in each 1D wire is $3/2$ (fermions, shorter Leech) or 2 (bosons, Leech), all couplings between wires are irrelevant except for the marginal couplings between densities and currents on the different perfect metal wires. The irrelevance of tunneling operators precludes the possibility of charge transport between wires, but density-density and current-current interactions will enable inter-wire energy transport. Although inter-wire density-density and current-current interactions can change the dimensions of cosine operators, the latter are highly irrelevant in the limit of decoupled wires, so there is a non-zero range of parameter space within which couplings between cosine operators remain irrelevant.

An array of asymmetric shorter Leech liquids presents an even more interesting possibility. The left-moving channels are chiral Fermi liquids at the decoupled point, and interwire couplings will drive a crossover to a 2D chiral Fermi surface. On the other hand, the right-moving channels are chiral shorter Leech liquids, and inter-wire tunneling operators are irrelevant. Such a system could combine 2D Fermi liquid properties with 1D shorter Leech liquid properties and exhibit interesting non-Fermi liquid behavior.

We would like thank J. Cano, M. Freedman, A. Shapere, B. Ware, and M. Watkins for helpful discussions. C.N. and E.P. were partially supported by AFOSR under grant FA9550-10-1-0524.

-
- [1] Maximo Banados, Claudio Teitelboim, and Jorge Zanelli. The Black hole in three-dimensional space-time. *Phys.Rev.Lett.*, 69:1849–1851, 1992.
- [2] D. Belitz and T. R. Kirkpatrick. The anderson-mott transition. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 66:261–380, Apr 1994.
- [3] Jennifer Cano, Meng Cheng, Michael Mulligan, Chetan Nayak, Eugeniu Plamadeala, and Jon Yard. Bulk-edge correspondence in (2+1)-dimensional abelian topological phases. *Phys. Rev. B*, 89:115116, Mar 2014.
- [4] Sudip Chakravarty, Lan Yin, and Elihu Abrahams. Interactions and scaling in a disordered two-dimensional metal. *Phys. Rev. B*, 58:R559–R562, Jul 1998.
- [5] X. Chen, Z.-X. Liu, and X.-G. Wen. Two-dimensional symmetry-protected topological orders and their protected gapless edge excitations. *Phys. Rev. B*, 84:235141, 2011.
- [6] Chen, X. and Gu, Z.-C. and Liu, Z.-X. and Wen, X.-G. Symmetry protected topological orders and the group cohomology of their symmetry group. arXiv:1106.4772.
- [7] Sidney R. Coleman. There are no Goldstone bosons in two dimensions. *Commun.Math.Phys.*, 31:259–264, 1973.
- [8] V. Dobrosavljević, Elihu Abrahams, E. Miranda, and Sudip Chakravarty. Scaling theory of two-dimensional metal-insulator transitions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 79:455–458, Jul 1997.
- [9] V. J. Emery, E. Fradkin, S. A. Kivelson, and T. C. Lubensky. Quantum theory of the smectic metal state in stripe phases. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 85:2160–2163, Sep 2000.
- [10] Matthew P. A. Fisher, Peter B. Weichman, G. Grinstein, and Daniel S. Fisher. Boson localization and the superfluid-insulator transition. *Phys. Rev. B*, 40:546–570, Jul 1989.
- [11] T. Giamarchi and H. J. Schulz. Anderson localization and interactions in one-dimensional metals. *Phys. Rev. B*, 37:325–340, Jan 1988.
- [12] Leonardo Golubović and Mirjana Golubović. Erratum: Fluctuations of quasi-two-dimensional smectics intercalated between membranes in multilamellar phases of dna-cationic lipid complexes [phys. rev. lett. 80, 4341 (1998)]. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 81:5704–5704, Dec 1998.
- [13] Leonardo Golubović and Mirjana Golubović. Fluctuations of quasi-two-dimensional smectics intercalated between membranes in multilamellar phases of dna-cationic lipid complexes. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 80:4341–4344, May 1998.
- [14] M. Z. Hasan and C. L. Kane. Colloquium: Topological insulators. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 82:3045–3067, Nov 2010.
- [15] Simeon Hellerman. A Universal Inequality for CFT and Quantum Gravity. *JHEP*, 1108:130, 2011.
- [16] Hikami, S., Larkin, A.I., and Nagaoka, Y. Spin-orbit interaction and magnetoresistance in the two dimensional random system. *Prog. Theor. Phys.*, 63:707–710, 1980.
- [17] P. C. Hohenberg. Existence of long-range order in one and two dimensions. *Phys. Rev.*, 158:383–386, Jun 1967.
- [18] J. H. Conway and N. J. A. Sloane. *Sphere Packings, Lattices, and Groups*, (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999).
- [19] Kivelson, S. A. and Fradkin, E., and Emery, V. J. Electronic liquid-crystal phases of a doped mott insulator. *Nature*, 393:550–553, 1998.
- [20] Patrick A. Lee and T. V. Ramakrishnan. Disordered electronic systems. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 57:287–337, Apr 1985.
- [21] Juan Martin Maldacena. The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity. *Adv.Theor.Math.Phys.*, 2:231–252, 1998.
- [22] N. D. Mermin and H. Wagner. Absence of ferromagnetism or antiferromagnetism in one- or two-dimensional isotropic heisenberg models. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 17:1133–1136, Nov 1966.
- [23] J. Milnor and D. Husemoller. *Symmetric Bilinear Forms*. Springer-Verlag, 1973.
- [24] Olexei I. Motrunich and Matthew P. A. Fisher. d-wave correlated critical bose liquids in two dimensions. *Phys. Rev. B*, 75:235116, Jun 2007.
- [25] Ranjan Mukhopadhyay, C. L. Kane, and T. C. Lubensky. Sliding luttinger liquid phases. *Phys. Rev. B*, 64:045120, Jul 2001.
- [26] C. S. O’Hern and T. C. Lubensky. Sliding columnar phase of dna-lipid complexes. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 80:4345–4348, May 1998.
- [27] C. S. O’Hern, T. C. Lubensky, and J. Toner. Sliding phases in models, crystals, and cationic lipid-dna complexes. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 83:2745–2748, Oct 1999.
- [28] Eugeniu Plamadeala, Michael Mulligan, and Chetan Nayak. Short-range entangled bosonic states with chiral edge modes and duality of heterotic strings. *Phys. Rev. B*, 88:045131, Jul 2013.
- [29] Xiao-Liang Qi and Shou-Cheng Zhang. Topological insulators and superconductors. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 83:1057–1110, Oct 2011.
- [30] Ashvin Vishwanath and David Carpentier. Two-dimensional anisotropic non-fermi-liquid phase of coupled luttinger liquids. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 86:676–679, Jan 2001.
- [31] Mark Watkins. Some comments about indefinite III. *Diophantine Methods, Lattices, and Arithmetic Theory of Quadratic Forms*, 587:233, 2013.
- [32] With spin-orbit interactions and no magnetic field, there can be a metallic phase in 2D, but any time-reversal symmetry-breaking perturbation will lead to localization [16].
- [33] The situation is a little more complicated for spinful fermions. In the presence of disorder, weak interactions in the spin-triplet channel grow initially at longer scales, but this is likely to lead to magnetic order (at least locally), followed by localization as for spinless fermions.
- [34] We shall always work in the regime where the dispersion of the fermion modes is linear. Quadratic and higher-order corrections may be systematically incorporated into our formalism.
- [35] A $GL(N, \mathbb{Z})$ transformation is represented by a matrix with integer entries whose determinant has unit modulus.
- [36] We use the fact that $|\det(\tilde{K}_{R,L})| \geq 1$.
- [37] An $(M + N) \times (M + N)$ matrix has signature (M, N) if it has M positive eigenvalues and N negative eigenvalues.
- [38] The absence of $\frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{v}_1|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{v}_2|^2 \leq 2$ is the condition for spatially-uniform perturbations. For quenched random perturbations, the condition is less stringent: we merely need the absence of vectors $\frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{v}_1|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{v}_2|^2 \leq 3/2$. For perturbations that act at a single point (that would generate flow to a new boundary condition), the condition is less stringent still: there must be no vectors $\frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{v}_1|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{v}_2|^2 \leq 1$.
- [39] An $SO(M, N)$ transformation can be represented by an $(M + N) \times (M + N)$ matrix S_{ab} of unit determinant satisfying $S_{ba}\eta_{bc}S_{cd} = \eta_{ad}$ where $\eta = \mathbb{I}_M \oplus -\mathbb{I}_N$.
- [40] The relation between $GL(N, \mathbb{Z})$ and $SO(N - 1, 1)$ transformations is discussed more fully in [3, 28] and can be applied immediately to the $GL(2N, \mathbb{Z})$ and $SO(N, N)$ transformations needed for this paper.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS

Relation Between K -matrices and Lattices

We now make the relation between K -matrices and lattices, used in the main body of the paper, more explicit. Let $\lambda_a^{(R,L)}$ for $a = 1, \dots, N$ be the eigenvalues of $(K_{R,L}^{-1})^{IJ}$ with corresponding eigenvectors $(f_a^{(R,L)})^I$. We normalize the eigenvectors so that $(K_{R,L}^{-1})^{IJ} = (f_a^{(R,L)})^I (f_a^{(R,L)})^J$ (this construction is identical to the introduction of vielbeins in general relativity). Now suppose that we view the $(f_a^{(R,L)})^I$ as the components of a vector $\mathbf{f}_{R,L}^I \in \mathbb{R}^N$. To make this more concrete, define the unit vectors $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_a = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)^{\text{tr}}$ with a 1 in the a -th entry and zeros otherwise. They form an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^N$ so that $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_a \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}}_b \equiv \delta_{ab}$. Then we can define $\mathbf{f}_{R,L}^I \equiv (f_a^{(R,L)})^I \hat{\mathbf{x}}_a$. Thus, the eigenvectors $\mathbf{f}_{R,L}^I$ define a lattice $\Gamma_{R,L}$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$ according to $\Gamma_{R,L} = \{\tilde{m}_I \mathbf{f}_{R,L}^I | \tilde{m}_I \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. This lattice has inner product $\mathbf{f}_{R,L}^I \cdot \mathbf{f}_{R,L}^J = (K_{R,L}^{-1})^{IJ}$, i.e. $K_{R,L}^{-1}$ is the Gram matrix of this lattice. Since $\Gamma_{R,L}$ are unimodular, they are equal to their dual lattices, and $K_{R,L}$ are $GL(N, \mathbb{Z})$ -equivalent to $K_{R,L}^{-1}$. Thus, we can equally-well take $K_{R,L}$ to be the Gram matrices of $\Gamma_{R,L}$.

Finding W -matrices

There is a recursive procedure for finding the $GL(N, \mathbb{Z})$ transformation that transforms any unimodular K -matrix of signature (N, N) to $\mathbb{I}_N \oplus -\mathbb{I}_N$ [S31]. We describe a single iteration of this procedure. Let K be the K -matrix.

Step 1: Find a vector of integers $\vec{v}$ such that $\vec{v} \cdot K \cdot \vec{v}^T = 0$.

Step 2: Find a vector of integers $\vec{w}$ such that $\vec{v} \cdot K \cdot \vec{w}^T = 1$, and let $k = \vec{w} \cdot K \cdot \vec{w}^T$.

Step 3: Choose any set $\vec{e}_i$ of vectors spanning $\mathbb{Z}^{2N}$. If $n_i = \vec{e}_i \cdot K \cdot \vec{v}^T \neq 0$, then shift $\vec{e}_i \mapsto \vec{e}'_i = \vec{e}_i - n_i \vec{w}$, such that $\vec{e}'_i \cdot K \cdot \vec{v}^T = 0$. Similarly, if $m_i = \vec{e}'_i \cdot K \cdot \vec{w}^T \neq 0$, then shift $\vec{e}'_i \mapsto \vec{e}''_i = \vec{e}'_i - m_i \vec{v}$, such that $\vec{e}''_i \cdot K \cdot \vec{w}^T = 0$. Note that this is possible exactly because $\vec{v} \cdot K \cdot \vec{v}^T = 0$ and $\vec{v} \cdot K \cdot \vec{w}^T = 1$.

One then eliminates any two vectors from $\{\vec{e}''_i\}$ that are not linearly independent from the rest. Call this final set $\{\vec{u}_i\}$. Construct a $GL(2N, \mathbb{Z})$ transformation out of the row vectors: $W_1 = \{\vec{v}, \vec{w}, \vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \dots\}$. In the new basis, the K -matrix now looks block-diagonal, with K' of dimensions $(2N - 2) \times (2N - 2)$:

$$W_1^T K W_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & K' \end{pmatrix}$$

This procedure can now be repeated on K' to obtain W_2 (appropriately enlarged by $\mathbb{I}_2$ to make it of size $2N \times 2N$), and so on $N - 1$ times.

The composite transformation $W = W_1 W_2 \dots W_N$ diagonalizes the original K into N unit determinant blocks of dimension 2×2 .

These blocks can be further diagonalized with $GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ transformations as follows. If the block has an odd on the diagonal, then

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & k \end{pmatrix}, \quad U = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

$$ac - bd = 1, \quad c^2 - d^2 = k$$

$$U^T M U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Otherwise, if k is even:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & k \end{pmatrix}, \quad U = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

$$ad + bc = 1, \quad 2cd = k$$

$$U^T M U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

At this point our K -matrix is a direct sum of σ'_x s and σ'_z s. Finally we can bring K into the form $\sigma_z \oplus \dots \oplus \sigma_z$ through applying the following $GL(4, \mathbb{Z})$ transformation as needed:

$$\sigma_z \oplus \sigma_z = U^T (\sigma_z \oplus \sigma_x) U$$

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -2 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 2 & -1 & -1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Explicit forms for the matrices K_{SL} , W_s , W_a , and K_L as well as the vectors that define the operators mentioned in the text are given in the Mathematica file (and also in a text file) in the supplementary material.